

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/976,329 | 10/12/2001 | Lilla Boroczky | US 010004 | 9217 | |
| 24737 | 7590 06/24/2005 | EXAMINER | | | |
| | TELLECTUAL PROF | KOSTAK, VICTOR R | | | |
| P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2614 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/976,329 | BOROCZKY ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Victor R. Kostak | 2614 | |

| | Victor R. Kostak | 2614 | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing decision. | ate of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | l. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expressions a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | |
| AMENDMENTS | hard and a state of the state o | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | 4: | 4 | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | nowable il submitted in a separate | , umery nied amendm | ieni canceling | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-6,11-14 and 19-22</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 7-10, 15-18 and 23-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not eartier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | | | nce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | W. m. lo | | | |
| | | Victor R. Kostak Primary Examiner | | | |

Art Unit: 2614

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the substantial amendment to the claims requires further consideration beyond that of the prosecution history, and an extended field of search.